App F.IX-4]

1924. File No. 75-24

Previous References. (Draught) **Serial Nos.** 5–8

Mag 75-24-1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for December 1925

SUBJECT

Grant of certain insignia to the Madanga Gurus of Kodikallu mutt in the Hiriyur Taluq.

~~RR 1265~~ 697 dated ~~27-2-25~~ 5-12-25. To the Mag. Comr

Though more than one opportunity was given, the Gurus could not establish his claim to use the insignia

G. R. S. 11) 1924

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug.)

NOTES.

File No. 75 / 1924

FILE HEADING.

Grant of the insignia of Abhisheki and Chowri to the Matanga Guru of Kodikallu Math in the Hirisave Taluk.

From

The Matanga Guru

Review Petition Dated 12.2.25 Received 12.2.25

Diary No. 1328	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that on a re-consideration of Sanads conveyed in this office letter no. 857 dt 11-12-24, & the Mug. Comr and communicated to the petr in Mug Comrs. endt no.) dt 5-1-25, the above Board.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

may be Granted & transferred him.

The review-petition is duly stamped, and is in time. It may be admitted.

2 The petr states that he has the orgl Sanad and other licenses etc

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

and that an opportunity may be given to him to produce these documents and represent his case before Govt.

Submit.
16.2.25 16/2/25

3. The petn may perhaps be sent to the Revenue Commissioner for examination of the documents promised to be produced, and make a report with Govt's orders in the matter.

16.2.25

Rec. dcy
17.2.25
17.2.25

I agree

18.2.25

Dis-Submit.
20.2.25
23/2/25

Done
20.2.25

6 To the Muzrai d. 27.2.25. to issue a notice to the petitioner

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 75 of 1924.

Serial No.

opened to be produced by Dr. and Hand up a report to Govt with his opinion the matter.

7. From, The Muz. Comr.
Letter no. C. 1061 dt 13.11.25.
Reports that though more than one opportunity has been given, fresh documentary evidence in support of the Math's claim has not been produced, and gives it as his opinion that no case has been made out and that the order already passed may stand.
8 may the applicant be informed accordingly.
Submr. for order:
AV
19.11.25.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

The revision application
AV 9/11 19.11.25

G. R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192

Serial No.

19.11.25

Encl

The draft is in order.
The review-application
from the Muz. Commr. Office
now is placed
Secretr. for
AV
20.11.25

The Muz: Commr. may be replied
that Govt. agree in his views and
that the party may be given a
suitable sentence at the Sheet.

BA
20.11.25

23.11.25

(Rev Neg)

Secretary

23/11

28.11.25

I agree

1/12

1/12

1/12

1/12 DO Secretary 1/12 25.

3.12.25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mem File No. 75 of 1924

Serial No	
	To
8	The Mem Court No. 697 S. 12-25 Agrees with his views in ¶ 9 and directs him to be informed that [illegible] endorsement [illegible]
15/1	Received the Encl to Mem. App. 7-1-26 5.1.25 returned Mem Court produced by me with my apth [illegible] 29-3-26

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.	

21232

Encl. to C 1061 of 24-5
sent from the Murree
Commrs office on 14-11-25



vide to the below Vakalatnama for the Advocate for the appellant 12/9/25

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H.THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1924-25.

Jambava Sree Malla Muni Swami, Guru of Nelamangala and Kadapa Mutts, residing in Kodihalli, Hiriyur Taluq, ~~Tumkur~~ Chitaldrug District. ...Appellant.

The appellant above named begs to appeal against the order dated 5th January 1925 in -- Appeal No. 7 of 1924-25 on the file of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, for the following reasons among others.

1. The appellant was given no opportunity to prove that he was entitled to enjo. -- and was enjoying the privilege of using the insignia of Afthagiri and Chowri.

2. The Sannad original of which the - appellant craves permission to produce in person, clearly establishes beyond all doubt that the -- predecessors in office of the appellant were using the aforesaid insignia.

3. The previous licenses granted by the various District Magistrates one of whom is now one of the hon'ble Members of His Highness Government all show that he was permitted to proceed in procession using the aforesaid insignia - and others.

4. The appellant's Mutt is several - centuries old. The rights granted to him have never been withheld and the order under appeal has handicapped his position and position of - his disciples all over the State and in the Presidency of Madras substantially.

5. The appellant further prays permission to produce the original licenses granted - to him by the several Collectors in Madras Presidency in person.

6. This appellant's use of the aforesaid insignia in no way interferes with the rights of others and if an opportunity had only been given to the appellant, he would have proved his case to the satisfaction of the authority concerned.

Wherefore the appellant most respectfully prays that the records be called for, the appellant be heard and his original documents referred to above be perused and the order under -- appeal be reversed and suitable orders be passed in conformity with the original Sannad granted - by Tippu Sultan and continued by several officers of His Highness' Government and the Collectors - of the Madras Presidency.

P.S. If the order passed by the Muzrai Commissioner is under orders of Government, this appellant prays that this petition be considered as Review Petition and be dealt with accordingly.

Bangalore.
12th February '25

Advocate for Appellant.



In the Court of Before the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1924—1925.

Appellant ~~Plaintiff~~.		*Respondent* ~~Defendant~~
Jambava Sree Mall Muni Swami, Guru of Nelamangala, Kasaba and Kodathalli Mutt.	**Vs.**	

1. I the appellant above named do, by these presents retain Mr. BELUR SRINIVASA IYENGAR, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Mr N Rama Iyengar BA BL his son to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgement.

2. I further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

12. 2. 1925

BANGALORE.

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೆ.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence — ACCEPTED

B.S. Appellant

Advocate for.....

B. Srinivasa Iyengar
N Rama Iyengar
Advocate.

V. Sangha Press, B, City.

G R S VI–G 321

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Date of issue 27.2.25

Signed by Mr. T.R. ...

Section Mang

File No

Serial No

No 1265

Three

To The Inniz Cmr

ci Mysore

Sir,

In Centn of this office letter no. 857 dt 11.12.24 permitting the use of some ordinary insignia by the Guru of Kodchadri Math in the Hirigana Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith petition dt 4/12/24 25 Dec no. 1328 from the said Guru offering to produce documentary evidence in support of his claim to Special Privileges and Honours — and to request that you will be so good as to arrange

review

Dist Collector and Dist Supdt

The documents ~~promised~~
~~to be produced by the pets~~
and send up a report
to Govt in the matter with your opinion

I have etc.

23/2/25

(Sd) Rec. Secretary.

23/2/25

20324

No. C 1061 of 25 one file Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th November 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir

With reference to your letter No.1265/M.I.75-24-6 dated 27th February 1925 regarding the claim of the Guru of the Kodihalli Matha to use aftagiri and chowri, I have the honor to state that in pursuance of your instructions more than one opportunity was given to the Petitioner and his Counsel to produce the documents in support of his claim to the special birudus- on 16th June 1925 Mr. B. Srinivasiengar produced the documents detailed in his memo and wanted more time to obtain copies of certain other records. Though time was extended up to the end of last month he has not produced any further references which may be considered as a clear indication of the fact that he has nothing more to produce.

2. The documents detailed in the memo dated 16th June 1925 had all been produced previously when the question of the insignias to which the Guru was entitled was determined. Though the two special insignias, aftagiri and chowri are mentioned in the petition of Galaramuni presented to the Commissioner of Coorg yet their specific omission in the endorsements issued both by the Commissioner of Coorg and the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur cannot as contended by the advocate for the Petitioner, be construed as permission granted. The endorsements are in the nature of permits given to the applicant to go in the public streets, subject however, to the ordinary Police rules and are not Mahadaris based upon an adjudication of the claim of the Guru to parade certain

certain insignias in his tours. I am therefore of opinion that no case has been made out and that the orders already passed may stand.

3. The enclosures to your letter under reply are returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

13/11/25

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(on leave)

KSR.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 601

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 5.12.25

Signed by ...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No.

To The Inwd. Asst.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C.1061/B 13-11-1925 reporting that though more than one opportunity was given, the sons of the Kodikkadi Mattil could not establish his claim to use Attāgini and Chennī, and giving it as your opinion that no case has been made out of the use of the over

directed To State
that Such agree ~~that~~
with in
in your Views, and request
that The ~~party may~~ a suitable Sum
~~be informed accordingly~~ Mayor Wish Party

B—O

3.12.25

Dly Rec Secretary

BG
2/12/25